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25 years ago, women were very significant players in the field of CS.
- Few women scholar/researchers
- Very few police officers, judges, lawyers
- Male judges and police, but still debates about if women should handle male clients.

Hardly any interest in studying women as offenders or victims.

I know: I ended up doing research on my own. In a women's prison in 1977, not out of feminist zeal or gender spirit. I did it because they wouldn't let me in the men's prison.

But she probably had a female researcher and a male researcher. And they both went to talk to me.

First professional meeting I went to (SAECE) was several hundred men and 20 or 30 women.

On the one hand, not too bad. But since I was presenting a paper on female offenders, it was in the end an isolating experience.

All the panelists you see here today have had similar experiences—we have been in the discipline for at least the last 25 years.
- All are pioneers in the field in one way or another, more or less.
- All happen also to have been among the first members of the Division on Women, Crime.
- They are witnesses to the changes which have, in some cases, occurred in our discipline over the past 25 years.

And that is what they are here to share with us today: their experiences of the changes which took place in the field in the last 25 years.
PLENARY SESSION

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 20
5:00-6:00 p.m. - 7TH FLOOR - SALON I

25 YEARS OF WOMEN IN CRIMINOLOGY

It has been about 25 years since scholarly concerns about the absence of research on women and crime—and possible bias in the substance of criminology—began to really surface in the literature in the early 1970s. It was also in the mid-1970s that growing numbers of women scholars, researchers and educators began to gather at American Society of Criminology meetings. Informal conversations among these women ultimately led to the formation of the ASC Women's Caucus, which eventually became the Division on Women and Crime in 1984. This panel is devoted to an assessment of the effects of almost a quarter century of active participation by women in a discipline widely regarded as having been "male-dominated" in almost every respect. Has women’s involvement made any difference at all in the American Society of Criminology? in the academic professions? in the lives of persons of color? in the theory of our discipline? in the curriculum? Six women scholars, leaders and long-time ASC participants address these and other questions.

Chair: Chris Rasche, University of North Florida

Presenters:

1. Freda Adler, Rutgers University
   "Changes in the ASC Over the Past 25 Years"

2. Kathy Daly, Griffith University, Australia
   "Changes in Criminological Theory Over the Past 25 Years"

3. Lynne Goodstein, Pennsylvania State University
   "Changes in the Curriculum Over the Past 25 Years"

4. Coramae Mann, Indiana University, Bloomington
   "Changes for People of Color in Criminology Over the Past 25 Years"

5. Barbara Raffel Price, John Jay College of Criminal Justice
   "Changes in the Academic Profession Over the Past 25 Years"

6. Discussant: Meda Chesney-Lind, University of Hawaii at Manoa

NOTE: Plenary to be followed by the Division on Women and Crime Social Hour

WOMEN AND CRIME SOCIAL HOUR

6:30 P.M.
FIFTH FLOOR - DENVER, HOUSTON, KANSAS CITY
Hi! At long last all the pieces seem to be in place for our upcoming ASC plenary session on "25 Years of Women in Criminology," which is scheduled for Wednesday, November 20, 1996 at 5:00--to be followed immediately by our traditional Social Hour. This memo is intended to outline what I think we all agreed to do for this plenary.

A copy of the program abstract and list of participants is enclosed. The repetitive (and dull, I admit) titles I submitted for each of your presentations gives some suggestion of what was intended. I seized on these titles (rather than requesting unique ones from you) in order to convey most clearly what we planned to do in this plenary. If you are affronted by this presumption on my part, I apologize and you are certainly free to rename your portion of the program when you speak. However, remember that the idea here is for each of the main presenters to briefly summarize what you believe are the changes (if any) which have occurred over the past two decades or so because of the participation of women in the fields of criminology/criminal justice with respect to your particular assigned portion of the discussion. The intent is to be both self-reflective as a Division, and informative for those of our colleagues who come to this plenary without much appreciation or understanding of the impact or meaning of women's participation in this discipline.

Since the whole plenary session is only an hour long, it is critical for all of us to keep to a presentation which is only five to seven (5-7) minutes long. I will provide the welcoming and opening remarks, to be followed by each of the presenters, followed by a synthesizing discussion by Meda, and a closing sentence or two by me. In any remaining time, we will open the session to questions. By my calculation, if each of us uses our maximum of seven (7) minutes, our combined presentation would be at least 50 minutes long, leaving only 10 minutes for audience questions or commentary. Since things rarely go exactly as intended and most things take longer than planned anyway, I would prefer for us all to aim for a maximum of only five (5) minutes each, thereby reserving at least fifteen (15) or so for
audience participation even if other things run over somehow. I hope you will all agree to
this tight timetable; in my experience, it really means rehearsing what you want to say against
a real clock, since (for me, at least) time flies when I am speaking! So be warned: I will take
my job as chair’s time-keeper seriously and I will begin to harass you as you approach your
outside (7 minute) time limits!

I think this is a wonderful opportunity to both assess for ourselves how far we have come and
to share our insights with our less well informed colleagues. Let’s face it: some of our
younger colleagues (and many of our students) think the battles for equality are all won, while
some of our disciplinary peers still think women in the field are an anomaly. Sexual
harassment is still alive (though at least it is now out of the closet) and women’s work in this
field (as in many others) is still devalued by some of our colleagues. It is a good and
important thing to reflect periodically on what our participation in this field has meant for the
ASC, for academia, for persons of color, for the curriculum in criminology, and for
criminological theory.

Well, I hope these thoughts help to clarify, or remind you about, what you agreed to do at the
Plenary! By the way, in case you were wondering why the plenary title no longer makes
reference to the 10th anniversary of the Division, it is because it turns out that we actually
missed our 10th anniversary— it was back in 1994! But the idea for the plenary was so good,
we decided to go ahead with it and just omit mention of the 10th anniversary.

If you have any questions, concerns or complaints about our plenary, please get back to me at
your earliest opportunity at 904-646-2758/2850 (o), 904-646-2540 (fax), or
by e-mail at crasche@unf.edu. Otherwise I will look forward to seeing you in Chicago!
PLENARY SESSION

25 Years of Women in Criminology

Abstract:
It has been about 25 years since scholarly concerns about the absence of research on women and crime—and possible bias in the substance of criminology—began to really surface in the literature in the early 1970s. It was also in the mid-1970s that growing numbers of women scholars, researchers and educators began to gather at American Society of Criminology meetings. Informal conversations among these women ultimately led to the formation of the ASC Women’s Caucus, which eventually became the Division on Women and Crime in 1984. This panel is devoted to an assessment of the effect of almost a quarter century of active participation by women in a discipline widely regarded as having been "male-dominated" in almost every respect. Has women’s involvement made any difference at all in the American Society of Criminology? in the academic professions? in the lives of persons of color? in the theory of our discipline? in the curriculum? Six women scholars, leaders and long-time ASC participants address these and other questions. (Note: Plenary to be followed by the Division on Women and Crime 1996 Social Hour.)

Participants:

Chair: Chris Rasche

Presenters:
Freda Adler: "Changes in the ASC Over the Past 25 Years"
Kathy Daly: "Changes in Criminological Theory Over the Past 25 Years"
Lynne Goodstein: "Changes in the Curriculum Over the Past 25 years"
Coramae Mann: "Changes for People of Color in Criminology Over the Past 25 Years"
Barbara Price: "Changes in the Academic Profession Over the Past 25 Years"

Discussant: Meda Chesney-Lind
Sarah,

As promised, an abstract (and corrected title and list of participants) for our Division Plenary. Let me know if you need anything else.

Chris
PLENARY SESSION

25 Years of Women in Criminology

Abstract:
It has been about 25 years since scholarly concerns about the absence of research on women and crime--and possible bias in the substance of criminology--began to really surface in the literature in the early 1970s. It was also in the mid-1970s that growing numbers of women scholars, researchers and educators began to gather at American Society of Criminology meetings. Informal conversations among these women ultimately led to the formation of the ASC Women's Caucus, which eventually became the Division on Women and Crime in 1984. This panel is devoted to an assessment of the effect of almost a quarter century of active participation by women in a discipline widely regarded as having been "male-dominated" in almost every respect. Has women’s involvement made any difference at all in the American Society of Criminology? in the academic professions? in the lives of persons of color? in the theory of our discipline? in the curriculum? Six women scholars, leaders and long-time ASC participants address these and other questions. (Note: Plenary to be followed by the Division on Women and Crime 1996 Social Hour.)

Participants:

Chair: Chris Rasche

Presenters:
Freda Adler: "Changes in the ASC Over the Past 25 Years"
Kathy Daly: "Changes in Criminological Theory Over the Past 25 Years"
Lynne Goodstein: "Changes in the Curriculum Over the Past 25 years"
Coramae Mann: "Changes for People of Color in Criminology Over the Past 25 Years"
Barbara Price: "Changes in the Academic Profession Over the Past 25 Years"

Discussant: Meda Chesney-Lind
March 13, 1996

Dear Ray,

This is a belated response to your formal request for ideas for plenary sessions for the 1996 ASC program.

The enclosed ideas were generated by the Division on Women and Crime at our meetings last fall, and approved at our annual business meeting. We are very enthusiastic about doing some of these, either as plenary sessions or as other panel sessions sponsored by the Division.

Please note in particular our desire to do a plenary right before our Social Hour which we have held for the past several years on Wednesday evening; we would like to do the Plenary and then blend the Social Hour right after it, especially if we can to the Social Hour in some kind of adjacent space. We would ask you to give this idea particular consideration.

I have not fully fleshed out most of these ideas, because we were not sure which ones you would consider for the plenary slots. But I will be glad to finalize any of these ideas as soon as I know we can go ahead with some real planning. Finalizing them mostly means getting on the phone and contacting people to firm up their participation. I am also open to suggestions for modification in some cases as well, if it seems to you that some modifications would make these ideas more applicable to the larger ASC audience.

I look forward to your response to these ideas from the Division.

Sincerely,

Chris Rasche
Chair, Division on Women and Crime
These are some ideas for special panels for the 1996 ASC meetings which might be sponsored by the Division. If we like any of these ideas, a committee (or person) would need to take responsibility for actually pulling the panels together and submitting them in a timely fashion through the ASC Program Committee. There could also be separate committees for each one of these ideas which we wanted to try to pursue. These ideas are not listed in any order of priority.

1. The Status of Women in Criminology: A 25-Year Retrospective
   Several ideas were floated here. The original idea was that the 10th Anniversary of the Division was a good time to take stock of where we have come from and where we are going. Perhaps we could propose this as a Plenary Session at 6:00 on Wednesday and follow it at 7:00 with our Social Hour in the same location or nearby, so that the two events blended together. Proposed contents have included:

   1. Have three main speakers: one on the changes for women in the ASC (such as Freda Adler or Barbara Price), one on changes for women in the profession (such as Marg Zahn, Merry Morash or Barbara Price), and one on changes in the curriculum on women and crime (such as Chris Rasche or Lynne Goodstein). Additional speakers who have since been recommended might include: Chinita Heard on the changes in the role of women of color, Mona Danner on her research on differences in the way male and female criminologists negotiate their contracts.

   2. Have two to four speakers representing the different waves of feminism since the 1960s, each talking briefly about what it was like to come into the profession during their "time." Follow this with break-out caucuses organized by "generation," each of which could be charged to come back (in 15 minutes? at another scheduled panel the next day?) with ideas about what their "generation" has to offer the Division and ASC and the profession in general. Or then reshuffle the group so that people of different generations are together for a second period of time (another 15 minutes?) Adjourn into the Social Hour.

2. Programs for Women Offenders and Victims in the Age of the "Contract for America"
   This panel idea was generated by the fact that the funding for many programs for women in prison or for female victims have been destroyed or are under potential attack. It seems like we just got programming for women offenders and victims out of the "dark ages" and now they are threatened again by the new wave of conservative politics. Perhaps have several speakers briefly describe what has happened to programming for women offenders and victims in a variety of areas (such as Angela Browne or Merry Morash on programs for women in prison, Meda Chesney-Lind on programming for delinquent girls, Brenda Miller on substance abuse programming for females, and others on women's shelters, hotlines, etc.).

3. Translating Knowledge About Gender and Crime into Public Policy: Will We Be Ready When the Tide Turns?
   Some folks argue that all political climates swing back and forth, and someday the current repressive atmosphere will lighten up and there will be a new receptiveness for programming for women offenders and victims, for diversity in the workplace, for funding research on women, etc. How can the members of the Division be ready for the sea change? What do we have to do to be ready?
4. Fostering Diversity in Criminology in the Coming Decades  
(to be co-sponsored with the Division on People of Color?)

The idea here was to set up a panel session to explore how diversity can be positively fostered, especially in academic and research settings, in the age of retrenchment of affirmative action. Are there positive models out there of institutions fostering diversity despite the likely demise of affirmative action? What can we learn from them? Are there ways to make lemonade out of what many people perceive as a "lemon" policy?

5. Feminist Theory: Where Have We Come From, What Have We Accomplished, and Where Are We Going in the Coming Decade?  
Feminist theory has seriously challenged criminology at its very theoretical heart, but many people still don’t understand feminist theory. Perhaps this panel could provide some historical context (so we don't have to repeat history), talk about the state of feminist theory today, and project the coming issues in post-feminist theory?

ANY OTHER IDEAS?
To: ASC Division Heads  
From: Ray Paternoster, 1996 ASC Program Chair

I am in the process of preparing Plenary Sessions for the 1996 ASC meetings. I would appreciate any ideas, suggestions you may have on this matter. I cannot guarantee that I will act on all of your suggestions as we only have five or six slots for plenaries. In addition, if you have any suggestions for roundtables or author meets critics sessions, also please let me know. Thank you for helping me with this task.
To: ASC Division Heads  
From: Ray Paternoster, 1996 ASC Program Chair

I am writing to remind you all of a pledge I made to each of you regarding panels at the ASC meetings in Chicago. To repeat, I said that you could form up to five panels of your own that would appear in the program. I would try to highlight these panels in the program book. If you take the opportunity to assemble any such panels, please send them directly to me, rather than one of the program committee persons. It would also help me for scheduling purposes if you gave me some idea as to the expected attendance. I would like to schedule anticipated well attended meetings large rooms. Thank you for helping me with this task.
ASC CALL FOR PAPERS
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY
ANNUAL MEETING 1996
NOVEMBER 20-23 1996
CHICAGO MARRIOTT DOWNTOWN

THEME: CONTROLLING CRIME AND ACHIEVING JUSTICE

The 1996 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Criminology will be held Wednesday-Saturday, November 20-23 in the "Windy City" at the Chicago Marriott Downtown. The theme for the 48th annual meeting is the twin challenge of controlling crime and achieving justice. The Program Committee welcomes submissions to panels and papers on the thematic topic and all issues related to crime and justice. The list of panel areas can be found in subsequent pages of this call for papers.

If you would like to present a paper, serve as a discussant or chair, or organize your own panel please submit your proposal to one and only one of the relevant area chairs. Please follow the provided submission details, and send it to the area program person before March 15, 1996. If you have a question about the location of your paper or panel, please communicate with the appropriate program person or to the chair of the Program Committee:

Ray Paternoster
Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice
2220 Lefrak Hall
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
(301) 405-4724
FAX 301-405-4733
rpaternoster@bss2.umd.edu
AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CRIMINOLOGY

ANNUAL MEETINGS—CHICAGO, ILLINOIS
1996 PROGRAM COMMITTEE

AREA PANELS

PRISONS AND JAILS
James W. Marquart
College of Criminal Justice
Sam Houston State University
Huntsville, TX 77341
(409) 294-1657 Fax: (409) 294-1653

INTERMEDIATE SANCTIONS
Doris L. Mackenzie
Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice
2220 Lefrak Hall
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
(301) 405-3008 Fax: (301) 405-4733
dmacken@bss2.umd.edu

RESEARCH ON TREATMENT AND REHABILITATION
Laura B. Myers
College of Criminal Justice
Sam Houston State University
Huntsville, TX 77341
(409) 294-1654 Fax: (409) 294-1653
loo_lxm@shsu.edu

COURTS
Katheryn Russell
Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice
2220 Lefrak Hall
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
(301) 405-4699 Fax: (301) 405-4733
krussell@bss2.umd.edu

SENTENCING RESEARCH
John H. Kramer
Department of Sociology
Penn State University
211 Oswald Tower
University Park, PA 16801
(814) 863-2797 Fax: (814) 863-2129

CAPITAL PUNISHMENT
Robert M. Bohm
Department of Criminal Justice
University of Central Florida
Orlando, FL 32816-1600
(407) 823-5944
rbohm@pegasus.cc.ucf.edu

COMMUNITY POLICING
Geoffrey P. Alpert
College of Criminal Justice
University of South Carolina
Columbia, SC 29208
(803) 777-6424 Fax: (803) 777-7319
ssstgpa@crimjust.ccj.sc.edu

POLICE WORK IN THE 90’s
Jack R. Greene
Center for Public Policy
10th Floor
Gladdenfelter Hall
Temple University
Philadelphia, PA 19122
(215) 206-6996 Fax: (215) 204-7779

JUVENILE JUSTICE SYSTEM
Eric L. Jensen
Department of Sociology & Anthropology
University of Idaho
Moscow, ID 83844-1110
(208) 885-6777 Fax: (208) 885-2034

GANGS AND YOUTH CRIME
David G. Curry
Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice
University of Missouri—St. Louis
8001 Natural Bridge Road
St. Louis, MO 63121
(314) 515-5042 Fax: (314) 516-5048
sgdcurn@ums1vma.umsl.edu

RACE AND CRIME
David L. Spinner
Department of Criminal Justice
University of North Carolina—Charlotte
Charlotte, NC 28223
dispinne@uncc.vm.uncc.edu.

RESEARCH ON VIOLENCE
Colin Loftin
Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice
2220 Lefrak Hall
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
(301) 405-4702 Fax: (301) 405-4733

DOMESTIC AND FAMILY VIOLENCE
David Ford
Department of Sociology
Indiana University—Indianapolis
425 University Boulevard
Indianapolis, IN 46202
(317) 274-2449 Fax: (317) 274-2347
10VZ100@indyvax.iupui.edu

ORGANIZED CRIME
Jay S. Albanese
Department of Political Science & Criminal Justice
Niagara University
Timon Hall
Niagara University, NY 14109
(716) 286-8081 Fax: (716) 286-8581

CRIME AND THE MEDIA
Melissa Hickman Barlow
School of Social Welfare
University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
PO Box 786
Milwaukee, WI 53201
(414) 229-2431 Fax: (414) 229-5311
mhbarlow@osd.uwm.edu

WHITE COLLAR CRIME
Sally S. Simpson
Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice
2220 Lefrak Hall
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
(301) 405-4726 Fax: (301) 405-4733
sssimpson@umd

CRIME PREVENTION RESEARCH
Tim Bynum
School of Criminal Justice
560 Baker Hall
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824-1118
(517) 355-2196
1996 ANNUAL MEETING - CHICAGO

PLEASE NOTE MEETINGS ARE WEDNESDAY THROUGH SATURDAY.
PARTICIPANTS SHOULD EXPECT TO STAY THE ENTIRE TIME.

WHILE WE WILL TRY TO ACCOMMODATE SPECIAL NEEDS, WE CANNOT
GUARANTEE DAY AND TIME FOR PANEL PRESENTATIONS

PLEASE PROVIDE TELEPHONE NUMBER, FAX NUMBER AND/OR E-MAIL ADDRESS FOR EACH AUTHOR
ASC

ANNUAL MEETING

NOVEMBER 20-23 1996

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS

THEME: CONTROLLING CRIME AND SECURING JUSTICE

PREPARATION OF ABSTRACTS: In order for your abstract to be printed in the program proceedings, it must be no longer than 200 words. Please be very concise in explaining the purpose of your research, methods, and findings, or the general theme of your paper. Abstracts will be bound and made available to 1996 meeting registrants. To ease the processing of your abstract, please type the information requested below, and either on the back of this form or a clean sheet of white paper, print your abstract (of 200 words or less).

PAPER SUBMISSION

TITLE OF PAPER:

NAMES AND ADDRESSES OF EACH AUTHOR:

PLEASE PROVIDE TELEPHONE NUMBER, FAX NUMBER AND/OR E-MAIL ADDRESS FOR EACH AUTHOR
**THEME:** CONTROLLING CRIME AND ACHIEVING JUSTICE

**ANNUAL MEETING**

**CHICAGO, ILLINOIS NOVEMBER 20-23, 1996**

Submission for [ ] Panel on Theme
(Please check one) [ ] Panel on Other Topic

---

**PANEL SUBMISSIONS:** This form must be filled out completely to be accepted, including authors' complete addresses. If there is more than one author on a paper, list the additional authors and addresses on the reverse side. Be sure to note audio visual needs.

**TITLE OF PANEL:**

**ORGANIZER/CHAIR:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institution/Company</th>
<th>Phone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
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</tbody>
</table>
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NEW EDITOR SOUGHT FOR CRIMINOLOGY

The American Society of Criminology invites applications for the position of Editor of CRIMINOLOGY, its official journal. The new Editor will be responsible for three volumes beginning with the February, 1998, issue. It is anticipated that manuscript submissions will transfer to the new Editor during the spring of 1997.

In addition to supervising the peer review process and selecting articles for publication, the Editor is responsible for the production of the journal. The American Society of Criminology now pays for copy editing, printing, and mailing of the journal and for a one-fourth time managing editor. The Editor’s supporting institution normally provides office space, files, equipment, and funds to cover office expenses such as postage and phone for editorial correspondence, copying, graduate student assistance, and release time for the Editor. Supporting institutions may propose to assume some of the expenses now provided by ASC. ASC will provide a $5,000 honorarium to the Editor each year.

Application materials should include (1) assurances and details of institutional support, (2) a statement of editorial philosophy, and (3) resumes of all proposed personnel, including the Editor and Managing Editor, Copy Editor, and Associate Editors.

Interested applicants may contact the current Editor, Charles Tittle (509-335-4003), for additional information regarding the logistics or operational details of editing and producing the journal.

Applications (ten copies) should be sent to:

John H. Laub
Chair, ASC Editorial Board
College of Criminal Justice
Northeastern University
360 Huntington Avenue
Boston, MA 02115

They must be received by June 1, 1996
GENDER AND CRIME
Susan L. Miller
Department of Sociology
805 Zulauf Hall
Northern Illinois University
DeKalb, IL 60115
(815) 753-6436 Fax: (815) 753-6302
tkoslm1@niu

DRUGS AND CRIME
Ramiro Martinez Jr.
Department of Sociology & Criminal Justice
322 Smith Hall
University of Delaware
Newark, DE 19716
(302) 831-2581 Fax: (302) 831-2607
ramiro@strauss.udel.edu

QUANTITATIVE/QUALITATIVE RESEARCH METHODS
Christina Polsenberg
School of Criminal Justice
560 Baker Hall
Michigan State University
East Lansing, MI 48824-1118
(517) 432-1998 Fax: (517) 432-1787
christina.polsenberg@ssc.msu.edu

CRIMINAL JUSTICE EDUCATION
Timothy Flanagan
College of Criminal Justice
Sam Houston State University
Huntsville, TX 77341
(409) 294-1632 Fax: (409) 294-1653

CRIME, CRIMINAL JUSTICE AND POLITICAL ECONOMY
David Barlow
Faculty of Criminal Justice
University of Wisconsin—Milwaukee
PO Box 786
Milwaukee, WI 53201
(414) 229-4410 Fax: (414) 229-5311

CROSS CULTURAL AND COMPARATIVE CRIMINOLOGY
Delbert Ronds
Department of Political Science
Georgia Southern University
Landrum Box 8101
Statesboro, GA 30460
(912) 993-5066 Fax: (912) 681-5348
roundsd@gsvms2.cc.gasou.edu

HISTORICAL CRIMINOLOGY
Jurg Gerber
College of Criminal Justice
Sam Houston State University
Huntsville, TX 77341
(409) 294-1653 Fax: (409) 294-1653
icc_jxg@shsu.edu

STUDIES OF VICTIMIZATION
Ronet Bachman
Department of Sociology & Criminal Justice
University of Delaware
Newark, DE 19711
(302) 831-3267

PSYCHOLOGICAL AND SOCIAL PSYCHOLOGICAL THEORIES OF CRIME
Roger Jarjoura
School of Public & Environmental Affairs
Indiana University—Indianapolis
801 W. Michigan Street
Room 4080
Indianapolis, IN 46202-5152
(317) 278-2270 Fax: (317) 274-7860
rjarjour@speanet.iupui.edu

DEVELOPMENTAL/LONGITUDINAL THEORIES OF CRIME
Daniel Nagin
Heinz School of Urban & Public Affairs
Carnegie-Mellon University
Pittsburgh, PA 15213
(412) 268-8474
dn03@andrew.cmu.edu

ECOLOGICAL AND COMMUNITY STUDIES OF CRIME
Robert Bursik
Department of Sociology
331 Kaufman Hall
University of Oklahoma
Norman, OK 73019
(405) 325-1751 Fax: (405) 325-7129
rbursik@uoknor.edu

BIOSOCIAL THEORIES OF CRIME
Adrain Raine
Department of Psychology
Seeley G. Mudd 607
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, CA 90089-1061
(213) 740-7348

CHOICE-BASED THEORIES OF CRIME
Harold Grasmick
Department of Sociology
331 Kaufman Hall
University of Oklahoma
Norman, OK 73019
(405) 325-1751 Fax: (405) 325-7129
AF8094@uokmvs2.backbone.unoknor.edu

LEARNING THEORIES OF CRIME
Lonn Lanza-Kaduce
Center for Studies in Criminology & Law
509 Hume Library
University of Florida
Gainesville, FL 32611
(904) 392-1647

STRAIN THEORIES OF CRIME
Thomas Bernard
Administration of Justice Department
Pennsylvania State University
913 Oswald Tower
University Park, PA 16801
(814) 863-0132

SOCIAL CONTROL THEORIES OF CRIME
John Laub
College of Criminal Justice
Northeastern University
360 Huntington Avenue
Boston, MA 02115
(617) 373-3285 Fax: (617) 373-8998
laub@neu.edu

LABELING/CONFLICT THEORIES OF CRIME
Ruth Triplett
College of Criminal Justice
Sam Houston State University
Huntsville, TX 77341
(409) 294-1686 Fax: (409) 294-1653
icc_rat@shsu.edu

THEORETICAL DEVELOPMENT AND INTEGRATION
Marvin Krohn
Department of Sociology
University of New York at Albany
1400 Washington Avenue
Albany, NY 12222
(518) 442-4673

EARLY INTERVENTION STUDIES
Denise Gottfredson
Department of Criminology & Criminal Justice
2220 Lefrak Hall
University of Maryland
College Park, MD 20742
(301) 405-4717 Fax: (301) 405-4733
SUBMISSION DETAILS

• All submissions must be received by March 15, 1996.

• Please send your submission to just one program area chair. If the program area chair decides that another area is more appropriate for your submission, your forms will be submitted to the new area chair and you will be notified of the change.

• Please note that each participant is limited to a maximum of two program appearances. Four different examples of appearances include serving as a chair, paper presenter, or discussant.

• It is advisable that panels be limited to either four papers and a discussant or five paper presentations. Workshops usually include brief presentations involving more participants.

• All paper or panel submissions must include an abstract. In submitting your abstract, please limit it to 200 words. The abstract should only briefly note the purpose, method, and results of the study, or the general theme of the paper.

• Please note that the meeting is in NOVEMBER. Program participants are expected to preregister for the meetings. Preregistration materials will be sent to all participants by September of 1996. Failure to register may result in the removal of a paper from the program.

• Please submit papers that are original works that have not been previously published or presented elsewhere.

Thank you for adhering to these submission details.

The 1996 Meeting will begin each morning and end each afternoon with a plenary session. Panel presentations, roundtables, "author-meets-critic", and other sessions will occur throughout each day. In addition to these sessions, there will be local tours of professional and personal interest, and, of course, the wonderful city of Chicago to enjoy during the evening. See you there!
DIVISION ON WOMEN AND CRIME
Business Meeting I
Thursday, November 16, 1995

Ideas for Special Panels for 1996

These are some ideas for special panels for the 1996 ASC meetings which might be sponsored by the Division. If we like any of these ideas, a committee (or person) would need to take responsibility for actually pulling the panels together and submitting them in a timely fashion through the ASC Program Committee. There could also be separate committees for each one of these ideas which we wanted to try to pursue. These ideas are not listed in any order of priority.

1. The Status of Women in Criminology: A 25-Year Retrospective
   Several ideas were floated here. The original idea was that the 10th Anniversary of the Division was a good time to take stock of where we have come from and where we are going. Perhaps we could propose this as a Plenary Session at 8:00 on Wednesday and follow it at 7:00 with our Social Hour in the same location or nearby, so that the two events blended together. Proposed contents have included:

   1. Have three main speakers: one on the changes for women in the ASC (such as Freda Adler or Barbara Price), one on changes for women in the profession (such as Marg Zahn, Merry Morash or Barbara Price), and one on changes in the curriculum on women and crime (such as Chris Rasche or Lynne Goodstein). Additional speakers who have since been recommended might include: Chinita Heard on the changes in the role of women of color, Mona Danner on her research on differences in the way male and female criminologists negotiate their contracts.

   2. Have two to four speakers representing the different waves of feminism since the 1960s, each talking briefly about what it was like to come into the profession during their "time." Follow this with break-out caucuses organized by "generation," each of which could be charged to come back (in 15 minutes? at another scheduled panel the next day?) with ideas about what their "generation" has to offer the Division and ASC and the profession in general. Or then reshuffle the group so that people of different generations are together for a second period of time (another 15 minutes?) Adjourn into the Social Hour.

2. Programs for Women Offenders and Victims in the Age of the "Contract for America"
   This panel idea was generated by the fact that the funding for many programs for women in prison or for female victims have been destroyed or are under potential attack. It seems like we just got programming for women offenders and victims out of the "dark ages" and now they are threatened again by the new wave of conservative politics. Perhaps have several speakers briefly describe what has happened to programming for women offenders and victims in a variety of areas (such as Angela Browne or Merry Morash on programs for women in prison, Meda Chesney-Lind on programming for delinquent girls, Brenda Miller on substance abuse programming for females, and others on women's shelters, hotlines, etc.).

3. Translating Knowledge About Gender and Crime into Public Policy: Will We Be Ready When the Tide Turns?
   Some folks argue that all political climates swing back and forth, and someday the current repressive atmosphere will lighten up and there will be a new receptiveness for programming for women offenders and victims, for diversity in the workplace, for funding research on women, etc. How can the members of the Division be ready for the sea change? What do we have to do to be ready?
4. Fostering Diversity in Criminology in the Coming Decades
(to be co-sponsored with the Division on People of Color?)

The idea here was to set up a panel session to explore how diversity can be positively fostered, especially in academic and research settings, in the age of retrenchment of affirmative action. Are there positive models out there of institutions fostering diversity despite the likely demise of affirmative action? What can we learn from them? Are there ways to make lemonade out of what many people perceive as a "lemon" policy?

5. Feminist Theory: Where Have We Come From, What Have We Accomplished, and Where Are We Going in the Coming Decade?
Feminist theory has seriously challenged criminology at its very theoretical heart, but many people still don't understand feminist theory. Perhaps this panel could provide some historical context (so we don't have to repeat history), talk about the state of feminist theory today, and project the coming issues in post-feminist theory?

ANY OTHER IDEAS?

6. Transforming Knowledge into Action & Action into Knowledge: Learning How to Use the Political
DIVISION ON WOMEN AND CRIME
Business Meeting I
Thursday, November 16, 1995

Agenda

I. Welcome and Introduction to the Division for New Members

II. Approval of the Minutes

III. Committee Reports

1. Outreach Committee - Evelyn Gilbert
2. Nominations Committee - Chris Sellers
3. Awards and Fellows Committee - Susan Miller and Susan Caringella-MacDonald
4. Student Affairs Committee - Kay Scarborough
5. Special Events Committee - Debra Stanley
7. Mentoring Program Committee - Nicole Rafter
8. DWC Award Committee - Drew Humphries
9. Newsletter Committee

IV. New Business, Issues and/or Ideas

1. Proposal for a DWC Student Paper Competition - Kay Scarborough
2. Plans for special panels for 1996 ASC (see attachment)
3. 1996 will be DWC's 10th Anniversary
   - do we want to celebrate or commemorate in some way? If so, a committee is needed.
4. Visit of Jeremy Travis (NIJ Director) to our meeting on Friday
   - what do we want to tell him about the Division? or our interests? or our concerns?
   - what do we want to hear from him about NIJ
5. Liaison with the new Division on People of Color and Crime
   - co-sponsor one of the special panels for 1996?
6. New committees needed for 1996-97?
   - Programming Committee (to coordinate with the ASC Programming Committee, to plan the special panels for 1996)
   - DWC Constitution/Bylaws Revision Committee
   - An Archive Committee (or Archivist?)
   - A Publicity Committee (we will soon need a new membership recruitment brochure--and do we want to do other things to better advertise who we really are?)
   - Task Force on the Role of Men in the DWC
Date: 3/22/96
To: Brenda Miller
Fax: 714-887-2252
Re: Plenary Sessions
Sender: Chris Rasche

YOU SHOULD RECEIVE 3 PAGE(S), INCLUDING THIS COVER SHEET. IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL (904) 646-2850.
SUGGESTIONS FOR PLENARY SESSIONS FOR ASC 1996
FROM THE DIVISION ON WOMEN AND CRIME

I. The Status of Women in Criminology: A 25-Year Retrospective

Several ideas were floated here. The original idea was that the 10th Anniversary of the Division would be a good time to take stock of where the Division has come from and where it is going. We thought this could be a Plenary Session at 6:00 on Wednesday and then it could be followed at 7:00 with our Social Hour in the same location or nearby, so that the two events blended together. Proposed actual contents of the plenary session might include:

1. Have three main speakers: one on the changes of women in the ASC (such as Freda Alder or Barbara Price), one on the changes for women in the profession (such as Marg Zahn, Merry Morash or Barbara Price), one on the changes in theory (and Kathy Daly and Meda Chesney-Lind), and one on the changes in curriculum on women and crime (such as Lynne Goode or Lyla Rasche). Additional speakers who have since been recommended might include: Capeta Heard on the changes in the role of women of color, Mona Danner on her research on differences in the way male and female criminologists negotiate their contracts.

2. Have two to four speakers representing the different waves of feminism since the 1960s, each talking briefly about what it was like to come into the profession during their "time." Follow this with break-out caucuses organized by each "generation" with a predesignated facilitator, each of which could be charged to come back (in 15 minutes? or at another scheduled panel the next day?) with ideas about what their "generation" has to offer the Division and ASC and the profession in general. Or then reshuffle the group so that people of different generations are together for a second period of time (another 15 minutes?) Adjourn into the Social Hour.

2. Programs for Women Offenders and Victims in the Age of the "Contract for America"

This panel idea was generated by the fact that funding for many programs for women in prison or female victims have been destroyed or are under potential attack. It seems like we just got programming for women offenders and victims out of the "dark ages" and now they are threatened again by a new wave of conservative politics. Perhaps have several speakers briefly describe what has happened to programming for women offenders and victims in a variety of areas (such as Angela Browne or Marry Morash on programs for women in prison, Meda Chesney-Lind on programming for delinquent girls, Brenda Miller on substance abuse programming for females, and others on women's shelters, hotlines, etc.).
3. Feminist Theory: Where Have We Come From, What Have We Accomplished, and Where Are We Going in the Coming Decade?

Feminist theory has seriously challenged criminology at its very theoretical heart, but many people still don’t understand feminist theory. This panel could provide some historical context (so we don’t have to repeat history), talk about the state of feminist theory today, and project the coming issues for post feminist theory.

4. Translating Knowledge About Gender into Public Policy: Will We Be Ready When the Tide Turns?

Some folks argue that all political climates swing back and forth, and some day the current repressive atmosphere will lighten up and there will be a new receptiveness for programming for women offenders and victims, for diversity in the workplace, for funding research on women, etc. How can the members of the Division be ready for the sea change? What do we have to do to be ready?

5. Fostering Diversity in the Coming Decades (to be co-sponsored with the Division on People of Color?)

The idea here was to set up a panel session to explore how diversity can be positively fostered, especially in academic and research settings, in the age of retrenchment of affirmative action. Are there positive models out there of institutions fostering diversity despite the likely demise of affirmative action? What can we learn from them? Are there ways to make lemonade out of what many people perceive as a "lemon" policy?

6. Transforming Knowledge into Action and Activism

A session about how academics and researchers can make a real-world impact on society and/or on the profession. A "workshop" format for learning about using the political sphere to translate research findings into social policy.